

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DA | | NG DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/434,908 | 09/434,908 11/05/1999 | | LANE T. HAUCK | 0325.00281 4529 | | |
| 21363 | 7590 | 03/03/2003 | | · • | | |
| | | AIORANA, P.C | EXAMINER | | | |
| 24025 GREATER MACK SUITE 200 | | | | NGUYEN, CHANH DUY | | |
| ST. CLAIR | CLAIR SHORES, MI 48080 | | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| | | | | 2675 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Q

| , | Application No. Applicant(s) | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/434,908 | HAUCK, LANE T. | Ω 2 |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Chanh Nguyen | 2675 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control | ation. A proper reply high places the applica | y to a tion in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee in the final the fi | on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or |
| imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | | ing date of the illiar reje | cuon, even n |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | • | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or sir | nplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli | ng a corresponding number of f | inally rejected claim | s. |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti | on(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed | amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | dered but does NO | T place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were | e newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-17 and 19-22</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disapp | roved by the Exami | ner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | • | |
| 10. | | Charles | ypv |
| | | Chanh Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2675 | V |



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitations "simultaneous with" to claim 7, "first" to claim 13 as well as the limitation "with... driver" to claim 13 require further consideration and/or search since they were not presented before. Furthermore, the declaration on Lane Hauck under 37 C.F.R 1.131 require further consideration since it was not presented before (see MPEP 715.09).